

PROFESSOR Undral Byambadalai

NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 14

NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 17

PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 82.35

STATISTICS REFLECT FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES

I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	0	0	0	3	4	7	EXCELLENT	4.286	0.825
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	4	3	2	3	EXCELLENT	3.333	1.231
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	1	6	3	2	1	EXCELLENT	2.692	1.109
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	0	0	0	0	6	8	EXCELLENT	4.571	0.514
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	10	0	0	0	1	3	EXCELLENT	4.750	0.500
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	0	1	0	2	7	4	EXCELLENT	3.929	1.072
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	0	0	0	1	6	7	EXCELLENT	4.429	0.646

II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	0	1	6	7	EXCELLENT	4.429	0.646
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	0	0	0	5	5	4	EXCELLENT	3.929	0.829
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	0	0	5	9	EXCELLENT	4.643	0.497
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	1	0	0	0	3	10	EXCELLENT	4.769	0.439
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	1	0	0	0	2	11	EXCELLENT	4.846	0.376
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	0	0	0	5	9	EXCELLENT	4.643	0.497
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	0	0	0	0	7	7	EXCELLENT	4.500	0.519
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	0	0	0	6	8	EXCELLENT	4.571	0.514

**STRENGTHS:**

- Patience and clear
- The course was a good introduction to economics, even for those who are non econ or business majors. Undral was very effective in answering comments and questions and in clarifies confusing concepts.
- Will go over concepts different ways to make sure everyone understands.
- Patient and clear
- Instructor is able to first explain concepts simply to first get a better understanding then explains the complex concepts
- Availability outside of class. Knowledge of the topics.
- I like how we review important topics from lecture and the quizzes during discussion. It helps that all lectures are posted online.
- Responsibility and easy to understand.
- The discussions were a valuable addition to the classes. They allowed me to better understand course concepts.
- Relatively easy to understand. Even when some concepts are difficult/ complex, the instructor explains the concept in a simplified way, so her students can better understand. Discussions help remember and reinforce concepts material.

**WEAKNESSES:**

- None that I can think of
- Sometime there was a disconnect between the textbook and homework questions and the lectures.
- Online homework does not match up with what is taught in class.
- May not always explain problems for homework questions
- None that I can think of
- Sometimes concepts not clearly explained but corrects herself and rewords explanation better
- Sometimes the explanation of certain topics left some students still a little confused
- Sometimes I feel that some topics we go over in discussion are not explained well enough.
- Some concepts need to explain more clearly
- I thought that it was not necessary to commit so much time during discussion to discussing online quizzes, since they were not very related to class material and exams.
- Meeting time is a bit short/ not frequent. Can easily forget. Textbook quizzes don't really help. Textbook and lecture don't align at times.
- 

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

- Great TA
- Undral was great!
- Great TA.

- Very nice and approachable; would take again.
- Great TA
- Undral is a very patient instructor and she explains concepts very clearly.
- Great course! Undral was very helpful
- I really like the use of the Response Wave Application
- Good instructor!! Good at explaining and willing to help understand if struggling. Makes everyone participate.

PROFESSOR Undral Byambadalai  
 NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 8  
 NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 27  
 PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 29.63

STATISTICS REFLECT FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES

I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	0	0	0	1	3	4	EXCELLENT	4.375	0.744
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	1	3	2	1	EXCELLENT	3.429	0.976
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	0	3	5	0	0	EXCELLENT	2.625	0.518
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	0	0	0	0	3	5	EXCELLENT	4.625	0.518
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	8	0	0	0	0	0	EXCELLENT	N/A	N/A
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	1	0	0	3	3	0	EXCELLENT	3.500	0.548
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	0	0	0	2	4	2	EXCELLENT	4.000	0.756

II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	0	0	3	5	EXCELLENT	4.625	0.518
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	0	0	0	2	3	3	EXCELLENT	4.125	0.835
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	0	1	1	6	EXCELLENT	4.625	0.744
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	0	0	0	1	0	7	EXCELLENT	4.750	0.707
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	0	0	0	0	2	6	EXCELLENT	4.750	0.463
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	0	0	0	2	6	EXCELLENT	4.750	0.463
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	0	0	0	2	0	6	EXCELLENT	4.500	0.926
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	0	0	0	3	5	EXCELLENT	4.625	0.518

Spring 2016  
Byambadalai, Undral  
EC 101  
Section A2

Enrollment: 27  
Comments: 6  
Scantrons: 8

---

**STRENGTHS:**

- Undral is amazing at explaining topics that were not so clear in class. She is beyond helpful and available outside of class. Very intelligent and explains topics that are difficult in a way that is easy to understand
- Friendly, knowledgeable, available outside of class
- Takes time to explain the concept before doing the problem/question. Makes sure that topic is clear before doing problem set shows good examples on topic
- Always makes time outside of class, answers questions correctly and clearly, very helpful
- Good at explaining concepts not covered in lecture in great detail
- We get through all practice Q's in one class- good time management, does good job explaining concepts
- I thought you have very nice, engaging, and explained concepts very well

**WEAKNESSES:**

- At times is unable to encourage participation
- Sometimes drags the topic or takes a long time to explain

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

- Thankful I have a TF that is so knowledgeable and helpful. If not already being considered, she should pursue a career in teaching
- Great TF overall, just could improve on fostering student engagement
- Very good at making sure that concepts are clear and gives good examples to reiterate concept
- She went through both of my midterms with me to help me better understand what I did wrong. She was very helpful
- Practice Q's help with the exams
- Thank you!

PROFESSOR Undral Byambadalai  
 NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 9  
 NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 28  
 PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 32.14

STATISTICS REFLECT PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSES

I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

	NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV	
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	0	0	0	22	22	44	EXCELLENT	4.250	0.886
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	0	33	56	11	EXCELLENT	3.778	0.667
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	0	33	56	11	0	EXCELLENT	2.778	0.667
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	0	0	0	11	11	78	EXCELLENT	4.667	0.707
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	67	0	0	0	11	22	EXCELLENT	4.667	0.577
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	22	0	0	11	11	56	EXCELLENT	4.571	0.787
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	0	0	11	0	33	56	EXCELLENT	4.333	1.000

II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

	NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV	
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	11	0	44	44	EXCELLENT	4.222	0.972
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	0	0	11	22	22	44	EXCELLENT	4.000	1.118
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	0	11	22	67	EXCELLENT	4.556	0.726
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	0	0	0	11	22	67	EXCELLENT	4.556	0.726
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	0	0	0	11	22	67	EXCELLENT	4.556	0.726
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	0	11	0	22	67	EXCELLENT	4.444	1.014
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	0	0	0	0	22	78	EXCELLENT	4.778	0.441
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	0	0	11	22	67	EXCELLENT	4.556	0.726

**STRENGTHS:**

- Undral is very nice and intelligent. She is always available to meet with students, even outside her normal office hours. Her lectures are very clear and very helpful, and she puts a lot of time into explaining concepts so that they are understandable
- Always willing to explain something I don't understand. Brings excitement to the course
- Engages students by asking questions. Knows subject matter and explains concepts in more depth, more than lecture
- Able to clarify confusing concepts from lecture. Goes through problem sets well. Provides a lot of time outside classroom
- Helpful and kind. Inspire us to a lot. She encourages class participation greatly
- Hardworking and dedicated
- Her explanation is very clear and easy to understand
- Explanations of course concepts were clear and well spaced. I appreciate the effort and engagement in discussions
- The clarity of explanations and willingness to help and availability outside of class

**WEAKNESSES:**

- Sometimes we do not have enough time to cover all the material, but I think that this is none of a flaw in the design of the class, rather than Undral's teaching skills
- Long lectures that make me sleepy, we cover a lot of info before the final exam and it puts me in panic mode trying to learn new things a few days before the exam
- Sometimes hard to understand. Gets confused/ flustered at times. Sometimes doesn't know how to explain things well
- Moving through problem set/material can be slow leading to incomplete sessions
- Sometimes she does not explain the concepts clearly and might be a little confusing
- Hard to pay attention
- More example problems and study resources for exams would be great
- We sometimes don't cover everything

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

- Overall great instructor and really helpful! Thank you!
- Course & discussion sections are great and useful
- Overall she is great and helpful
- I enjoyed this session. Thank you
- Thanks for all the help this semester!
- So far this is the best discussion session I have been a part of as far as actually teaching the material and c

PROFESSOR Undral Bambyaladai

NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 20

NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 26

PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 76.92

STATISTICS REFLECT FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES

## I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	4	0	0	4	9	3	EXCELLENT	3.938	0.680
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	0	6	7	6	EXCELLENT	4.000	0.816
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	1	1	7	9	2	EXCELLENT	3.500	0.946
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	0	0	0	2	6	12	EXCELLENT	4.500	0.688
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	16	0	0	2	1	1	EXCELLENT	3.750	0.957
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	1	1	0	7	6	4	EXCELLENT	3.667	1.029
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	1	0	0	6	6	7	EXCELLENT	4.053	0.848

## II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	0	1	8	11	EXCELLENT	4.500	0.607
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	1	0	0	5	8	6	EXCELLENT	4.053	0.780
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	0	2	7	11	EXCELLENT	4.450	0.686
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	6	0	0	2	6	6	EXCELLENT	4.286	0.726
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	3	0	0	0	6	11	EXCELLENT	4.647	0.493
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	0	0	1	7	12	EXCELLENT	4.550	0.605
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	0	0	0	0	5	15	EXCELLENT	4.750	0.444
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	0	0	1	6	13	EXCELLENT	4.600	0.598



**STRENGTHS:**

- Encourages class participation in discussion. Always upbeat during class. Caring toward her students.
- The best TA I have ever had!
- Undral was always a very positive instructor who was always engaged with the class and had a good ability to explain and teach material.
- Good at explaining concepts
- The difficulty and strengths is great. Undral is passionate and she speaks clear in class when explaining the concepts.
- Explain concepts clearly, approachable, encourages participation.
- Undral is pretty awkward but very nice
- Encouraging class students to participate and study. Available outside. Always helping and entertaining. Explain concepts very well.
- Great at explaining concepts. Very clear and interesting. Excellent discussion instructor and always answers questions very well.
- She is good at explain the concepts
- Got people to participate. Well educated in the subject.
- She really help in and out of class. Comfortable to ask questions; can tell she wants to help/ willing to. Good at explaining.
- Very charismatic and great at explaining concepts.
- She really wants us all to succeed and makes sure we understand.

**WEAKNESSES:**

- More review of class homework and test problems.
- NONE
- Too many people in office hours
- Sometimes the explanation is not really clear
- None.
- Sometimes it's easy to be distracted by very minor stuff
- She is not good at stimulating interest and controlling the order of the class
- Some bad handwriting
- Difficult course

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

- Made hard concepts easier to understand.
- Good discussion instructor. Very helpful and knowledgeable.
- Overall is great and she is really kind to all the students.
- She's a good TA
- Best TA ever!!!

- Thank you for explaining lecture and clearing up confusion so well 😊 Thank you for a great semester! 😊
- She is a great person and good instructor.
- Gonna miss you Undral!
- Very good at explaining difficult concepts
- Knowledgeable and helpful!!
- The people in the class were disruptive and I feel like any negative comments Undral receives is probably from students who didn't take full advantage of the class. Undral is an excellent TF.

PROFESSOR Undral Byambadalai

NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 7

NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 31

PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 22.58

STATISTICS REFLECT FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES

## I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

	NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV	
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	0	0	0	2	1	4	EXCELLENT	4.286	0.951
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	0	1	3	3	EXCELLENT	4.286	0.756
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	0	1	2	2	1	EXCELLENT	3.500	1.049
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	0	0	0	0	4	3	EXCELLENT	4.429	0.535
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	4	0	0	0	2	1	EXCELLENT	4.333	0.577
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	0	1	0	1	3	2	EXCELLENT	3.714	1.380
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	0	0	1	3	2	1	EXCELLENT	3.429	0.976

## II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

	NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV	
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	0	1	3	3	EXCELLENT	4.286	0.756
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	0	0	0	3	2	2	EXCELLENT	3.857	0.900
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	0	2	2	3	EXCELLENT	4.143	0.900
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	1	0	1	0	1	4	EXCELLENT	4.333	1.211
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	1	0	0	0	3	3	EXCELLENT	4.500	0.548
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	0	0	0	3	4	EXCELLENT	4.571	0.535
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	0	0	0	3	2	2	EXCELLENT	3.857	0.900
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	0	0	0	4	3	EXCELLENT	4.429	0.535

Spring 2017  
Byambadalai, Undral  
EC 101  
SECTION A6

ENROLLMENT: 31  
COMMENTS: 6  
SCANTRONS: 7

---

**STRENGTHS:**

- Very eager and helpful at explaining course concepts.
- Good at explaining many of the concepts in the course.
- She was able to explain topic fully.
- I like how she goes over them questions while also giving us notes on the concept. She highlights and emphasizes what we need to know which is helpful for test. 😊
- Good at explaining.
- Passionate about the material.

**WEAKNESSES:**

- Can sometimes be difficult to understand but still willing to repeat herself.
- Could stimulate more discussion during discussion sections, more review before exams.
- The class seemed to go a bit slow sometimes she should speed up.
- Very slow at explaining and doing problems.
- None

j

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

- Explained things very well!
- Very nice and understanding.
- N/A